

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,541	01/26/2001	Prithviraj Banerjee	NWU-P001	6788
7590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER		
THE LAW OFFICE OF DEEPTI PANCHAWAGH-JAN			CHU, CHRIS C	
3039 CALLE D SAN JOSE, CA	E LAS ESTRELLA		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BAN JOSE, CA	1 75140		2815	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 41 41 11		- <del>18~</del>			
. · · •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>F</b> -C-1			
Advisory Action	09/770,541	BANERJEE ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chris C. Chu	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appooriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18 - 37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
<del></del>						

My Homisons

EXAMINER 2800

ELIMARY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Schaumont et al. discloses in Fig. 22, Fig. 23 and column 24, lines 6 - 64 the C++ Timed, Bittrue System Description using C++ objects such as signals and finite state machine descriptions which translate themselves to a control / data flow data structure. Also, Fig. 11, lines 1 - 18 of Schaumont et al. clearly shows a C++ system inherently disclosing the assigning a type (e.g., T-sample) and a dimension (e.g., 8, 6) to the at least one undeclared variable (e.g., coef[i]) by analyzing the functional description to form an abstract syntax tree.

Thus, Schaumont et al. discloses the following limitation "assigning a type and a dimension to an undeclared variable by analyzing the functional description to form an abstract syntax tree" as recited in rejected claims.

For the above reasons, the rejection is maintained.